




Bibliography


- [1] F.J. Anscombe and R.J. Aumann, 'A definition of subjective probability', *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 34 (1963), 199–205
- [2] W. Armbruster and W. Böge, 'Bayesian game theory' in O. Moeschlin and D. Pallaschke (eds.), *Game Theory and Related Topics* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979)
- [3] G.B. Asheim, 'Proper rationalizability in lexicographic beliefs', *International Journal of Game Theory*, 30 (2001), 453–478
- [4] G.B. Asheim, 'On the epistemic foundation for backward induction', *Mathematical Social Sciences*, 44 (2002), 121–144
- [5] G.B. Asheim, *The Consistent Preferences Approach to Deductive Reasoning in Games* (Theory and Decision Library, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2006)
- [6] G.B. Asheim and M. Dufwenberg, 'Admissibility and common belief', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 42 (2003), 208–234
- [7] G.B. Asheim and A. Perea, 'Sequential and quasi-perfect rationalizability in extensive games', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 53 (2005), 15–42
- [8] G.B. Asheim and A. Perea, 'Algorithms for cautious reasoning in games', Working paper (2009)
- [9] R.J. Aumann, 'Subjectivity and correlation in randomized strategies', *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 1 (1974), 67–96
- [10] R.J. Aumann, 'Agreeing to disagree', *Annals of Statistics*, 4 (1976), 1236–1239
- [11] R.J. Aumann, 'Correlated equilibrium as an expression of Bayesian rationality', *Econometrica*, 55 (1987), 1–18
- [12] R.J. Aumann, 'Backward induction and common knowledge of rationality', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 8 (1995), 6–19
- [13] R.J. Aumann, 'Reply to Binmore', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 17 (1996), 138–146
- [14] R.J. Aumann, 'On the centipede game', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 23 (1998), 97–105
- [15] R.J. Aumann and A. Brandenburger, 'Epistemic conditions for Nash equilibrium', *Econometrica*, 63 (1995), 1161–1180
- [16] C.W. Bach and C. Heilmann, 'Agent connectedness and backward induction', forthcoming in *International Game Theory Review* (2011)
- [17] D. Balkenborg and E. Winter, 'A necessary and sufficient epistemic condition for playing backward induction', *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 27 (1997), 325–345
- [18] A. Baltag, S. Smets and J.A. Zvesper, 'Keep 'hoping' for rationality: a solution to the backward induction paradox', *Synthese*, 169 (2009), 301–333 (*Knowledge, Rationality and Action*, 705–737)




- [19] K. Basu, 'On the non-existence of a rationality definition for extensive games', *International Journal of Game Theory*, 19 (1990), 33–44
- [20] K. Basu, 'The traveler's dilemma: Paradoxes of rationality in game theory', *American Economic Review*, 36 (1994), 391–396
- [21] K. Basu and J. Weibull, 'Strategy subsets closed under rational behavior', *Economics Letters*, 36 (1991), 141–146
- [22] P. Battigalli, 'Strategic rationality orderings and the best rationalization principle', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 13 (1996), 178–200
- [23] P. Battigalli, 'On rationalizability in extensive games', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 74 (1997), 40–61
- [24] P. Battigalli and G. Bonanno, 'Recent results on belief, knowledge and the epistemic foundations of game theory', *Research in Economics*, 53 (1999), 149–225
- [25] P. Battigalli and M. Siniscalchi, 'Hierarchies of conditional beliefs and interactive epistemology in dynamic games', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 88 (1999), 188–230
- [26] P. Battigalli and M. Siniscalchi, 'Strong belief and forward induction reasoning', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 106 (2002), 356–391
- [27] E. Ben-Porath, 'Rationality, Nash equilibrium and backwards induction in perfect-information games', *Review of Economic Studies*, 64 (1997), 23–46
- [28] E. Ben-Porath and E. Dekel, 'Signaling future actions and the potential for sacrifice', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 57 (1992), 36–51
- [29] B.D. Bernheim, 'Rationalizable strategic behavior', *Econometrica*, 52 (1984), 1007–1028
- [30] J. Bertrand, 'Théorie mathématique de la richesse sociale', *Journal des Savants*, 67 (1883), 499–508
- [31] C. Bicchieri, 'Self-refuting theories of strategic interaction: A paradox of common knowledge', *Erkenntnis*, 30 (1989), 69–85
- [32] K. Binmore, 'Modeling rational players, Part I', *Economics and Philosophy*, 3 (1987), 179–214
- [33] K. Binmore, 'A note on backward induction', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 17 (1996), 135–137
- [34] L.E. Blume, A. Brandenburger and E. Dekel, 'Lexicographic probabilities and choice under uncertainty', *Econometrica*, 59 (1991a), 61–79
- [35] L.E. Blume, A. Brandenburger and E. Dekel, 'Lexicographic probabilities and equilibrium refinements', *Econometrica*, 59 (1991b), 81–98
- [36] O. Board, 'Knowledge, beliefs and game-theoretic solution concepts', *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 18 (2002), 433–445
- [37] W. Böge and T.H. Eisele, 'On solutions of bayesian games', *International Journal of Game Theory*, 8 (1979), 193–215
- [38] G. Bonanno, 'AGM-consistency and perfect Bayesian equilibrium. Part I: definition and properties', Working paper (2010)
- [39] É. Borel, 'La théorie du jeu et les equations intégrales à noyau symétrique', *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaire des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences (Paris)*, 173 (1921), 1304–1308. (Translated by Leonard J. Savage as 'The theory of play and integral equations with skew symmetric kernels', *Econometrica*, 21 (1953), 97–100)





- [40] É. Borel, *Eléments de la Théorie des Probabilités*, 3rd edn. (Hermann, Paris, 1924). (Pages 204–221 translated by Leonard J. Savage as ‘On games that involve chance and the skill of players’, *Econometrica*, 21 (1953), 101–115)
- [41] É. Borel, ‘Sur les systèmes de formes linéaires à déterminant symétrique gauche et la théorie générale du jeu’, *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaire des Séances de l’Académie des Sciences (Paris)*, 184 (1927), 52–54. (Translated by Leonard J. Savage as ‘On systems of linear forms of skew symmetric determinant and the general theory of play’, *Econometrica*, 21 (1953), 116–117)
- [42] T. Börgers, ‘Weak dominance and approximate common knowledge’, *Journal of Economic Theory*, 64 (1994), 265–276
- [43] T. Börgers and L. Samuelson, ‘“Cautious” utility maximization and iterated weak dominance’, *International Journal of Game Theory*, 21 (1992), 13–25
- [44] A. Brandenburger, ‘Knowledge and equilibrium in games’, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 6 (1992a), 83–101
- [45] A. Brandenburger, ‘Lexicographic probabilities and iterated admissibility’ in P. Dasgupta *et al.* (eds.), *Economic Analysis of Markets and Games* (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1992b), pp. 282–290
- [46] A. Brandenburger, ‘On the existence of a “complete” possibility structure’ in N. Dimitri, M. Basili and I. Gilboa (eds.), *Cognitive Processes and Economic Behavior* (Routledge, London, 2003)
- [47] A. Brandenburger, ‘The power of paradox: some recent developments in interactive epistemology’, *International Journal of Game Theory*, 35 (2007), 465–492
- [48] A. Brandenburger and E. Dekel, ‘Rationalizability and correlated equilibria’, *Econometrica*, 55 (1987), 1391–1402
- [49] A. Brandenburger and E. Dekel, ‘The role of common knowledge assumptions in game theory’, in F. Hahn (ed.), *The Economics of Missing Markets, Information and Games* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1989), pp. 46–61
- [50] A. Brandenburger and E. Dekel, ‘Hierarchies of beliefs and common knowledge’, *Journal of Economic Theory*, 59 (1993), 189–198
- [51] A. Brandenburger and A. Friedenberg, ‘Intrinsic correlation in games’, *Journal of Economic Theory*, 141 (2008), 28–67
- [52] A. Brandenburger and A. Friedenberg, ‘Self-admissible sets’, *Journal of Economic Theory*, 145 (2010), 785–811
- [53] A. Brandenburger, A. Friedenberg and J. Keisler, ‘Admissibility in games’, *Econometrica*, 76 (2008), 307–352
- [54] A. Brandenburger and J. Keisler, ‘An impossibility theorem on beliefs in games’, *Studia Logica*, 84 (2006), 211–240
- [55] J. Chen and S. Micali, ‘The robustness of extensive-form rationalizability’, Working paper (2011)
- [56] I.-K. Cho, ‘A refinement of sequential equilibrium’, *Econometrica*, 55 (1987), 1367–1389
- [57] I.-K. Cho and D.M. Kreps, ‘Signaling games and stable equilibria’, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 102 (1987), 179–221
- [58] T. Clausing, ‘Doxastic conditions for backward induction’, *Theory and Decision*, 54 (2003), 315–336
- [59] T. Clausing, ‘Belief revision in games of perfect information’, *Economics and Philosophy*, 20 (2004), 89–115




- [60] A.A. Cournot, *Recherches sur les Principes Mathématiques de la Théorie des Richesses* (Hachette, Paris, 1838). (English translation: *Researches into the Mathematical Principles of the Theory of Wealth* (Macmillan, New York, 1897))
- [61] E. van Damme, 'Stable equilibria and forward induction', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 48 (1989), 476–496
- [62] E. Dekel and D. Fudenberg, 'Rational behavior with payoff uncertainty', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 52 (1990), 243–267
- [63] E. Dekel, D. Fudenberg and D.K. Levine, 'Payoff information and self-confirming equilibrium', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 89 (1999), 165–185
- [64] E. Dekel, D. Fudenberg and D.K. Levine, 'Subjective uncertainty over behavior strategies: A correction', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 104 (2002), 473–478
- [65] E. Dekel and F. Gul, 'Rationality and knowledge in game theory' in D.M. Kreps and K.F. Wallis (eds.), *Advances in Economics and Econometrics: Theory and Applications* (Seventh World Congress, Vol. 1, 1997), pp. 87–172
- [66] T. Ellingsen and T. Miettinen, 'Commitment and conflict in bilateral bargaining', *American Economic Review*, 98 (2008), 1629–1635
- [67] L.G. Epstein and T. Wang, '“Beliefs about beliefs” without probabilities', *Econometrica*, 64 (1996), 1343–1373
- [68] R. Farquharson, *Theory of Voting* (Yale University Press, New Haven, CT, 1969)
- [69] Y. Feinberg, 'Subjective reasoning - dynamic games', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 52 (2005), 54–93
- [70] B. de Finetti, 'Les probabilités nulles', *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 60 (1936), 275–288
- [71] A. Friedenberg, 'When do type structures contain all hierarchies of beliefs?', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 68 (2010), 108–129
- [72] D. Fudenberg and J. Tirole, *Game Theory* (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1991a)
- [73] D. Fudenberg and J. Tirole, 'Perfect Bayesian equilibrium and sequential equilibrium', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 53 (1991b), 236–260
- [74] J. Geanakoplos, 'Common knowledge', *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 6 (1992), 52–83
- [75] R. Gradwohl and A. Heifetz, 'Rationality and equilibrium in perfect-information games', Working paper (2011)
- [76] F. Gul, (1997), 'Rationality and coherent theories of strategic behavior', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 70 (1997), 1–31
- [77] J.Y. Halpern (2010), 'Lexicographic probability, conditional probability, and nonstandard probability', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 68 (2010), 155–179
- [78] P.J. Hammond, 'Elementary non-archimedean representations of probability for decision theory and games' in P. Humpreys and P. Suppes (eds.), *Scientific Philosopher* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, Vol. 1, 1994), pp. 25–49
- [79] J.C. Harsanyi, 'Games with incomplete information played by “bayesian” players, I–III', *Management Science*, 14 (1967–1968), 159–182, 320–334, 486–502
- [80] A. Heifetz, 'The Bayesian formulation of incomplete information - The non-compact case', *International Journal of Game Theory*, 21 (1993), 329–338
- [81] A. Heifetz and D. Samet, 'Topology-free typology of beliefs', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 82 (1998a), 324–341
- [82] A. Heifetz and D. Samet, 'Knowledge spaces with arbitrarily high rank', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 22 (1998b), 260–273



- [83] E. Hendon, H.J. Jacobsen and B. Sloth, 'The one-shot-deviation principle for sequential rationality', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 12 (1996), 274–282
- [84] J.J. Herings and V.J. Vannetelbosch, 'Refinements of rationalizability for normal-form games', *International Journal of Game Theory*, 28 (1999), 53–68
- [85] J.J. Herings and V.J. Vannetelbosch, 'The equivalence of the Dekel-Fudenberg iterative procedure and weakly perfect rationalizability', *Economic Theory*, 15 (2000), 677–687
- [86] J. Hillas, 'Sequential equilibria and stable sets of beliefs', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 64 (1994), 78–102
- [87] H. Hotelling, 'Stability in competition', *Economic Journal*, 39 (1929), 41–57
- [88] S. Kakutani, 'A generalization of Brouwer's fixed point theorem', *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 8 (1941), 457–459
- [89] J. Keisler and B.S. Lee, 'Common assumption of rationality', Working paper (2011)
- [90] W. Kets, 'Bounded reasoning and higher-order uncertainty', Working paper (2010)
- [91] E. Kohlberg and J.-F. Mertens, 'On the strategic stability of equilibria', *Econometrica*, 54 (1986), 1003–1037
- [92] D.M. Kreps and R. Wilson, 'Sequential equilibria', *Econometrica*, 50 (1982), 863–894
- [93] D.M. Kreps, P. Milgrom and R. Wilson, 'Rational cooperation in the finitely repeated prisoner's dilemma', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 27 (1982), 245–252
- [94] S. Kripke, 'A semantical analysis of modal logic I: Normal modal propositional calculi', *Zeitschrift für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagen der Mathematik*, 9 (1963), 67–96
- [95] H.W. Kuhn, 'Extensive games', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 36 (1950), 570–576
- [96] H.W. Kuhn, 'Extensive games and the problem of information' in H.W. Kuhn and A.W. Tucker (eds.), *Contributions to the Theory of Games*, Volume II (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1953), pp. 193–216 (*Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 28)
- [97] D.K. Lewis, *Convention* (Harvard University Press, Cambridge, 1969)
- [98] R.D. Luce and H. Raiffa, *Games and Decisions* (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1957)
- [99] A. McLennan, 'Justifiable beliefs in sequential equilibria', *Econometrica*, 53 (1985), 889–904
- [100] M. Meier, 'On the nonexistence of universal information structures', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 122 (2005), 132–139
- [101] J.-F. Mertens and S. Zamir, 'Formulation of bayesian analysis for games with incomplete information', *International Journal of Game Theory*, 14 (1985), 1–29
- [102] T. Miettinen and A. Perea, 'Commitment in alternating offers bargaining', Working paper (2010)
- [103] D. Monderer and D. Samet, 'Approximating common knowledge with common beliefs', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 1 (1989), 170–190



- [104] O. Morgenstern, 'Vollkommene Voraussicht und wirtschaftliches Gleichgewicht', *Zeitschrift für Nationalökonomie*, 6 (1935), 337–357. (Reprinted as 'Perfect foresight and economic equilibrium' in A. Schotter (ed.), *Selected Economic Writings of Oskar Morgenstern* (New York University Press, 1976), pp. 169–183)
- [105] H. Moulin, 'Dominance solvable voting schemes', *Econometrica*, 47 (1979), 1337–1351
- [106] R.B. Myerson, 'Refinements of the Nash equilibrium concept', *International Journal of Game Theory*, 7 (1978), 73–80
- [107] R. Nagel, 'Unravelling in guessing games: An experimental study', *American Economic Review*, 85 (1995), 1313–1326
- [108] J.F. Nash, 'Equilibrium points in N -person games', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 36 (1950), 48–49
- [109] J.F. Nash, 'Non-cooperative games', *Annals of Mathematics*, 54 (1951), 286–295
- [110] N. Navarro and A. Perea, 'A simple bargaining procedure for the Myerson value', Working paper (2011)
- [111] J. von Neumann, 'Zur Theorie der Gesellschaftsspiele', *Mathematische Annalen*, 100 (1928), 295–320. (Translated by Sonya Bargmann as 'On the theory of games of strategy' in A.W. Tucker and R.D. Luce (eds.), *Contributions to the Theory of Games*, Volume IV (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1959), pp. 13–43 (*Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 40))
- [112] J. von Neumann and O. Morgenstern, *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1944)
- [113] D. Pearce, 'Rationalizable strategic behavior and the problem of perfection', *Econometrica*, 52 (1984), 1029–1050
- [114] A. Penta, 'Robust dynamic mechanism design', Working paper (2009)
- [115] A. Perea, *Rationality in Extensive Form Games* (Theory and Decision Library, Series C, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston / Dordrecht / London, 2001)
- [116] A. Perea, 'A note on the one-deviation property in extensive games', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 40 (2002), 322–338
- [117] A. Perea, 'Proper belief revision and rationalizability in dynamic games', *International Journal of Game Theory*, 34 (2006), 529–559
- [118] A. Perea, 'A one-person doxastic characterization of Nash strategies', *Synthese*, 158 (2007a), 251–271 (*Knowledge, Rationality and Action* 341–361)
- [119] A. Perea, 'Proper belief revision and equilibrium in dynamic games', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 136 (2007b), 572–586
- [120] A. Perea, 'Epistemic foundations for backward induction: An overview' in J. van Benthem, D. Gabbay and B. Löwe (eds.), *Interactive Logic Proceedings of the 7th Augustus de Morgan Workshop, London. Texts in Logic and Games 1* (Amsterdam University Press, 2007c), pp. 159–193
- [121] A. Perea, 'Minimal belief revision leads to backward induction', *Mathematical Social Sciences*, 56 (2008), 1–26
- [122] A. Perea, 'Backward induction versus forward induction reasoning', *Games*, 1 (2010), 168–188
- [123] A. Perea, 'An algorithm for proper rationalizability', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 72 (2011a), 510–525
- [124] A. Perea, 'Belief in the opponents' future rationality', Working paper (2011b)
- [125] B. Polak, 'Epistemic conditions for Nash equilibrium, and common knowledge of rationality', *Econometrica*, 67 (1999), 673–676



- [126] K.R. Popper, *Logik der Forschung* (Julius Springer Verlag, Vienna, 1934)
- [127] K.R. Popper, *The Logic of Scientific Discovery*, 2nd edn. (Hutchinson, London, 1968). (The first version of this book appeared as *Logik der Forschung*)
- [128] A. Quesada, 'Belief system foundations of backward induction', *Theory and Decision*, 53 (2002), 393–403
- [129] A. Quesada, 'From common knowledge of rationality to backward induction', *International Game Theory Review*, 2 (2003), 127–137
- [130] P.J. Reny, 'Rationality in extensive-form games', *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 6 (1992a), 103–118
- [131] P.J. Reny, 'Backward induction, normal form perfection and explicable equilibria', *Econometrica*, 60 (1992b), 627–649
- [132] P.J. Reny, 'Common belief and the theory of games with perfect information', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 59 (1993), 257–274
- [133] A. Rényi, 'On a new axiomatic theory of probability', *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, 6 (1955), 285–335
- [134] A. Robinson, 'Function theory on some nonarchimedean fields', in *Papers on the Foundations of Mathematics. American Mathematical Monthly*, 80 (1973), S87–S109
- [135] J. Robles, 'Order independence of conditional dominance', Working paper (2006)
- [136] R.W. Rosenthal, 'Games of perfect information: predatory pricing and the chain-store paradox', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 25 (1981), 92–100
- [137] A. Rubinstein, 'Perfect equilibrium in a bargaining model', *Econometrica*, 50 (1982), 97–110
- [138] A. Rubinstein, 'Comments on the interpretation of game theory', *Econometrica*, 59 (1991), 909–924
- [139] D. Samet, 'Hypothetical knowledge and games with perfect information', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 17 (1996), 230–251
- [140] L. Samuelson, 'Dominated strategies and common knowledge', *Games and Economic Behavior*, 4 (1992), 284–313
- [141] L.J. Savage, *The Foundation of Statistics* (Wiley, New York, 1954)
- [142] O. Schulte, 'Iterated backward inference: An algorithm for proper rationalizability', in *Proceedings of TARK IX* (Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning about Knowledge, 2003)
- [143] F. Schuhammer, 'Proper rationalizability and backward induction', *International Journal of Game Theory*, 28 (1999), 599–615
- [144] R. Selten, 'Spieltheoretische Behandlung eines Oligopolmodells mit Nachfragezeit', *Zeitschrift für die Gesamte Staatswissenschaft*, 121 (1965), 301–324, 667–689
- [145] R. Selten, 'Reexamination of the perfectness concept for equilibrium points in extensive games', *International Journal of Game Theory*, 4 (1975), 25–55
- [146] R. Selten, 'The chain-store paradox', *Theory and Decision*, 9 (1978), 127–159
- [147] M. Shimoji, 'On forward induction in money-burning games', *Economic Theory*, 19 (2002), 637–648
- [148] M. Shimoji and J. Watson, 'Conditional dominance, rationalizability, and game forms', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 83 (1998), 161–195
- [149] M. Siniscalchi, 'Epistemic game theory: Beliefs and types', forthcoming in *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics* (Palgrave Macmillan, 2007)



- [150] H. von Stackelberg, *Marktform und Gleichgewicht* (Julius Springer, Vienna, 1934)
- [151] I. Ståhl, *Bargaining Theory* (Stockholm School of Economics, Stockholm, Sweden, 1972)
- [152] D.O. Stahl, 'Lexicographic rationalizability and iterated admissibility', *Economics Letters*, 47 (1995), 155–159
- [153] R. Stalnaker, 'Belief revision in games: forward and backward induction', *Mathematical Social Sciences*, 36 (1998), 31–56
- [154] M.B. Stinchcombe, 'Approximate common knowledge', Working paper (1988)
- [155] T. Tan and S.R.C. Werlang, 'The bayesian foundations of solution concepts of games', *Journal of Economic Theory*, 45 (1988), 370–391
- [156] T. Tan and S.R.C. Werlang, 'On Aumann's notion of common knowledge: An alternative approach', *Revista Brasileira de Economia*, 64 (1992), 151–166
- [157] E. Tsakas, 'Epistemic equivalence of lexicographic belief representations', Working paper (2011)
- [158] E. Zermelo, 'Über eine Anwendung der Mengenlehre auf die Theorie des Schachspiels', *Proceedings Fifth International Congress of Mathematicians*, 2 (1913), 501–504